Those Who Favor Fire

An odyssey of flaring in Texas

by/ CALEB A. FIELDER, ESQ.

ENDNOTES

This article originally appeared in the Texas Tech Law Review (54 Tex. Tech. L. Rev. 2 (2022)).



- Julia Cauble Smith, Corsicana Oilfield, TEX. STATE HIST. ASS'N (Aug. 11, 2020), https://www.tshaonline.org/ handbook/entries/corsicana-oilfield.
- 2 Id
- 3 See David F. Prindle, The Texas Railroad Commission and the Elimination of the Flaring of Natural Gas, 1930–1949, 84 SW. HIST. Q. 293, 294 (1980).
- 4 See id.
- 5 Id. at 295.
- 6 Id.
- 7 Id.
- 8 Id.
- 9 See id.
- 10 See id. at 295-96.
- 11 See id.; 40 C.F.R. § 98.238.
- 12 Prindle, supra note 3, at 296.
- Judith Lewis Mernit, The Race for an Obscure Texas Office Could Have a Lasting Impact on Climate Change, CAP. & MAIN (Sept. 10, 2020), https://capitalandmain.com/race-obscuretexas-office-could-have-lasting-impact-climate-change-0910.
- 14 Prindle, supra note 3, at 296.
- 15 Id. at 298.
- Bret Wells, Please Give Us One More Oil Boom I Promise Not to Screw it up This Time: The Broken Promise of Casinghead Gas Flaring in the Eagle Ford Shale, 9 TEX. J. OIL, GAS & ENERGY L. 319, 352 (2014).
- 17 Prindle, *supra* note 3, at 301.
- 18 *Id.* at 301–02.
- 19 Id.
- 20 TEX. NAT. RES. CODE ANN. § 86.002; see Prindle, supra note 3, at 299 (citing TEX. REV. CIV. STAT. ANN. art. 6008 §§ d, e).
- 21 Historical Natural Gas Production and Well Counts, TEX. R. R. COMM'N, https://www.rrc.texas.gov/oil-and-gas/research-and-statistics/production-data/historical-production-data/natural-gas-production-and-well-counts-since-1935/ (last visited Feb. 8, 2022).
- 22 Prindle, supra note 3, at 297.
- 23 Not to be confused with William "Alfalfa Bill" Murray, governor of Oklahoma, who challenged that state's oil industry in the 1930s.
- 24 William J. Murray, Jr. BSPE '36, MSPE '37, UNIV. OF TEX. AT AUSTIN, https://www.pge.utexas.edu/connect/distinguished-alumni/past-honorees/57-2011/206-william-j-murray-jr-bspe-36-mspe-37 (last visited Feb. 8, 2022).
- 25 William James Murray, TEX. STATE CEMETERY, https://cemetery.tspb.texas.gov/pub/user_form822.asp?pers_id=8520 (last visited Feb. 8, 2022).
- 26 UNIV. OF TEX. AT AUSTIN, supra note 24.
- 27 TEX. STATE CEMETERY, supra note 25.

- 28 Prindle, supra note 3, at 303.
- 29 Id
- 30 TEX. STATE CEMETERY, supra note 25.
- 31 Id
- 32 UNIV. OF TEX. AT AUSTIN, supra note 24.
- 33 See generally William M. Emmons III, Franklin D. Roosevelt, Electric Utilities, and the Power of Competition, 53 J. ECON. HIST. 880 (1993).
- 34 See generally Richard C. Cudahy, The Second Battle of the Alamo: The Midnight Connection, 10 NAT. RES. & ENV'T 56 (1995).
- 35 Id.
- 36 Prindle, supra note 3, at 304.
- 37 Id.; see State Oil Control Urged After War; Head of Texas Commission Bids Interstate Compact Fight to End Federal Rule, N.Y. TIMES (Oct. 8, 1944), https://www.nytimes.com/1944/10/08/archives/state-oil-control-urged-after-warhead-of-texas-commission-bids.html.
- 38 Prindle, supra note 3, at 304.
- 39 Id.
- 40 Id.
- 41 Id.
- 42 Id.
- 43 Katherine Ann Willyard, *An Historical Political Economy Analysis and Review of Texas Oil and Gas Well Flaring Laws and Policy*, 128 ENERGY POL'Y 639, 642 (2019).
- 44 Prindle, *supra* note 3, at 304–05 (citing FORT WORTH STARTELEGRAM, Dec. 22, 1944).
- 45 *l*c
- 46 *Id.* at 305 (citing Internal Railroad Commission memo).
- 47 Id.
- 48 See id.
- 49 See id.
- 50 See id.
- 51 Id. at 306.
- 52 TEX. STATE CEMETERY, supra note 25.
- 53 Prindle, supra note 3, at 307.
- 54 Id. at 307-08.
- 55 R.R. Comm'n v. Sterling Oil & Refin. Co. 218 S.W.2d 415, 415–16 (Tex. 1949) (quoting VERNON'S ANN. CIV. ST. tit. 102, art. 6008, § 7(1)(a)–(d)).
- 56 Prindle, supra note 3, at 307.
- 57 Id
- 58 R.R. Comm'n v. Shell Oil Co., 206 S.W.2d 235, 237 (Tex. 1947).
- 59 Id.
- 60 Id. at 241.

- 61 TOM SANZILLO ET AL., FLARING BURNS TEXAS ECONOMY: COMMISSION'S FAILURE TO STOP WASTE RUNS RISK OF LETTING THE STATE'S FINANCIAL FUTURE GO OFF THE RAILS 8 (Inst. Energy Fin. Analysis June 2020); Charles E. Crenshaw, *The Regulation of Natural Gas*, 19 L. & CONTEMP. PROB. 325, 328 (1954).
- 62 Crenshaw, supra note 61, at 334.
- 63 R.R. Comm'n v. Sterling Oil & Refin. Co., 218 S.W.2d 415, 415–16 (Tex. 1949).
- 64 Id.
- 65 Id. at 415.
- 66 Id. at 420.
- 67 R.R. Comm'n of Tex. v. Flour Bluff Oil Corp., 219 S.W.2d 506, 506 (Tex. App. Austin 1949, writ ref'd).
- 68 Id at 509
- 69 *Id.* at 507–08 (noting that the utilization of gas for light or fuel required the installation of expensive compressors and that reinjection of gas into the reservoir was uneconomic).
- 70 Id. at 508 (internal citations omitted).
- 71 R.R. Comm'n of Tex. v. Rowan Oil Co., 259 S.W.2d 173, 177 (Tex. 1953).
- 72 Id.
- 73 Id. at 175.
- 74 Id.
- 75 Id. at 176-77.
- 76 Crenshaw, supra note 61, at 334.
- 77 Prindle, supra note 3, at 308.
- 78 See Crenshaw, supra note 61; Prindle, supra note 3.
- 79 Prindle, supra note 3, at 301.
- 80 Id.
- 81 See id.
- 82 Id.
- 83 Id.
- See Investor Relations, WILLIAMS, https://investor.williams. com/home/default.aspx (last visited Feb. 8, 2022). Williams boasts that it owns and operates more than 30,000 miles of pipelines as well as owning the nation's largest volume and fastest growing pipeline. Id. The company is responsible for transporting 30% of the United States' natural gas. See Energy Services, Williams Partners, FERC Tax Decision Forces Williams to Restructure — No More MLP, MARCELLUS DRILLING NEWS (May 18, 2018), https://marcellusdrilling. com/2018/05/ferc-tax-decision-forces-williams-torestructure-no-more-mlp/. Due to changes to the corporate tax rate and unfavorable rulings from FERC, Williams Partners LP now sits under the umbrella of the Williams corporation; see Original Petition for Judicial Review at 1, Williams MLP Operating v. R.R. Comm'n of Tex., No. D1GN-20-000120 (345th Dist. Ct., Travis Cnty., Tex. Nov. 20, 2019).
- Kiah Collier, Pipeline Giant Sues Railroad Commission Alleging Lax Oversight of Natural Gas Flaring, TEX. TRIB. (Dec. 3, 2019, 12 a.m.), https://www.texastribune.org/2019/12/03/railroad-commission-sued-lax-oversight-natural-gas-flaring/.

- 86 Sanford Nowlin, Lawsuit, Environmentalists Take Action at Texas Railroad Commission's Lax Enforcement of Gas Flaring Rules, SAN ANTONIO CURRENT (Dec. 3, 2019), https://www.sacurrent.com/the-daily/archives/2019/12/03/lawsuit-environmentalists-take-aim-at-texas-railroad-commissions-lax-enforcement-of-gas-flaring-rules.
- 87 Jessica Corso, *Pipeliner Takes Railroad Commissioner to Court Over "Needless" Flaring*, SAN ANTONIO BUS. J. (Dec. 6, 2019, 6 a.m.), https://www.bizjournals.com/sanantonio/news/2019/12/06/pipeliner-takes-railroad-commission-to-court-over.html.
- 88 Sergio Chapa, Flaring Under Fire: Pipeline Operator Sues Railroad Commission, HOUS. CHRON. (Dec. 3, 2019, 5:03 p.m.), https://www.chron.com/business/energy/article/Flaring-Under-Fire-Pipeline-operator-sues-14879260.php.
- 89 Collier, supra note 85.
- 90 Rachel Adams-Heard, *The 2020 Election to Watch for Climate Outcomes is in Texas*, BLOOMBERG L. (Jan. 27, 2020, 4 a.m.), https://news.bloomberglaw.com/environment-and-energy/the-2020-election-to-watch-for-climate-outcomes-is-in-texas
- 91 Larry Persily, Texas Gas Flaring Draws Lawsuits from Pipeline Company, ALASKA J. COM. (Dec. 24, 2019, 1:21 p.m.), https:// www.alaskajournal.com/2019-12-24/texas-gas-flaring-drawslawsuit-pipeline-company.
- 92 Rebecca Elliot, *Texas Showdown Flares Up Over Natural-Gas Waste*, WALL ST. J. (July 17, 2018, 7 a.m.), https://www.wsj.com/articles/texas-showdown-flares-up-over-natural-gas-waste-11563361201.
- 93 Tex. R.R. Comm'n, First Supplemental Proposal for Decision, Formal Complaint of GNOOC Energy USA, LLC, Against Williams MLP Operating LLC, and Mockingbird Midstream Gas Services, LLC, Gas Util. Docket No. 10606, 9-10 (Hearings Div. June 30, 2020) (agreed order of dismissal with prejudice).
- 94 10
- 95 See Crenshaw, supra note 61; see Prindle, supra note 3.
- 96 Cushing, OK WTI Spot Price FOB, U.S. ENERGY INFO. ADMIN. (Feb. 2, 2022), https://www.eia.gov/dnav/pet/hist/rwtcD.htm (last visited, Feb. 2, 2022).
- 97 See Bespoke Inv. Grp., *S&P 500 Historical Sector Weightings*, SEEKING ALPHA (Jan. 18, 2012, 3:34 a.m.), https://seekingalpha.com/article/320168-s-and-p-500-historical-sector-weightings. However, it would dip to 2.3% in 2020. *See Dino Grandori*, *Big Oil Just Isn't as Big as It Once Was*, WASH. POST (Sept. 4, 2020), https://www.washingtonpost.com/business/2020/09/04/exxon-dow-jones/.
- 98 Bespoke Inv. Grp., supra note 97.
- 99 Chesapeake Energy Investor Presentation, CHESAPEAKE ENERGY 15 (Sept. 11, 2012), https://www.slideshare.net/Companyspotlight/chesapeake-energy-investor-presentation.
- Announce Closing of Eagle Ford Shale Project Cooperation Agreement, CHESAPEAKE ENERGY (Nov. 15, 2010), http://investors.chk.com/2010-11-16-chesapeake-energy-corporation-and-cnooc-limited-announce-closing-of-eagle-ford-shale-project-cooperation-agreement (explaining that CNOOC agreed to pay \$1.08 billion up front, plus 75% of Chesapeake's share of drilling and completing costs until the other \$1.08 billion as paid).

- 101 Update 1-S. Africa's Sasol Finalizes Talisman Shale Deal, REUTERS (June 10, 2011, 6:31 a.m.), https://www.reuters. com/article/sasol/update-1-s-africas-sasol-finalisestalisman-shale-deal-idUSLDE75919020110610.
- 102 Total Increases Its Presence in the United States and Takes a Stake in the Gas and Condensate Fields of Utica, Ohio, TOTAL ENERGIES (Jan. 03, 2012), https://totalenergies.com/media/ news/press-releases/total-accroit-sa-presence-aux-etatsunis-et-prend-une-participation-dans-les-gisements-de-gaz.
- 103 Russell Gold, Chesapeake CEO Issues Apology to Investors, WALL ST. J. (May 2, 2012, 7:48 p.m.), https://www.wsj.com/ articles/SB1000142405270230474370457737998216957185
- 104 See id.
- 105 See id.
- 106 See Chesapeake Energy Announces Three Asset Sales, HART ENERGY (Apr. 10, 2012, 11:50 a.m.), https://www.hartenergy. com/news/chesapeake-energy-announces-three-asset-sales-82212.
- 107 See id.
- 108 Id.
- 109 Rebecca Elliot, *Texas Showdown Flares Up Over Natural-Gas Waste*, WALL ST. J. (July 17, 2019, 7:00 a.m.), https://www.wsj.com/articles/texas-showdown-flares-up-over-natural-gas-waste-11563361201.
- 110 See Gathering System, SCHLUMBERGER OILFIELD GLOSSARY, https://glossary.oilfield.slb.com/en/terms/g/gathering_system (last visited Feb. 8, 2022).
- 111 See generally JAMES J. MURCHIE, INVS. & WEALTH MONITOR, MASTER LIMITED PARTNERSHIPS LESSONS FROM HISTORY (2008), https://eipinvestments.com/wp-content/uploads/IW08MarApr_MasterLimitedPartnerships-reprint.pdf.
- 112 Id.
- 113 Id.
- 114 TIM FENN, LATHAM & WATKINS LLP, MASTER LIMITED PARTNERSHIPS (MLPS): A GENERAL PRIMER 1 (2012), https://www.lw.com/admin/Upload/Documents/MLP/Resources/Latham-Master-Limited-Partnerships-20Primer. pdf.
- 115 Inside Master Limited Partnerships, CALLAN INVS. INST. 7 (2012), https://www.callan.com/ uploads/2020/05/5c5c4ee7efd075dcca146b4cb3c2bdfa/ callan-mlp-primer.pdf.
- 116 See id.
- 117 See id.
- 118 See id.
- 119 Fee models employed by contracts include fixed fee, percent of proceeds, keep-whole and costof-service. RBC EQUITY ENERGY & UTILS. TEAM, MASTER LIMITS PARTNERSHIP 53 (2013), https://www.mlpassociation.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/08/RBC_MLP_Primer.pdf.
- 120 Id.
- 121 Id.

- 122 See Chesapeake Midstream Partners, L.P. Prices Initial Public Offering of Common Units, WILLIAMS (July 28, 2010), http://investor.williams.com/press-release-details/2010/Chesapeake-Midstream-Partners-LP-Prices-Initial-Public-Offering-of-Common-Units/default.aspx.
- 123 Id.
- 124 Id.
- 125 Jay F. Marks, Former Chesapeake Subsidiary Announces New Name, THE OKLAHOMAN (July 25, 2012, 12 a.m.), https://www.oklahoman.com/article/3695263/former-chesapeake-subsidiary-unveils-new-name. One commentator insisted that this sale was "one of the main reasons that Chesapeake did not threaten bankruptcy in 2012." Daniel Dicker, Dicker: The MLP Craze, THE ST. (July 31, 2013, 5:05 p.m.), https://www.thestreet.com/opinion/dicker-the-mlp-craze-11995159.
- 126 Dicker, supra note 125.
- 127 Access & Williams in Complex 3-Way Midstream Deal, MARCELLUS DRILLING NEWS (Dec. 12, 2012), https:// marcellusdrilling.com/2012/12/chesapeake-access-williamsin-complex-3-way-midstream-deal/.
- 128 Id
- 129 Proposal for Decision at 2, Formal Complaint of CNOOC Energy USA, LLC, Against Williams MLP Operating, LLC and Mockingbird Midstream Gas Services, LLC, Gas Utility Docket No. 10606 (Hearings Div. Feb. 11, 2020) [hereinafter Proposal for Decision].
- 130 Id.
- 131 Id.
- 132 Id.
- 133 Id.
- 134 Id.
- 135 Id.
- 136 Id.
- 137 Id.
- 138 *Id.*
- 139 Id.
- 140 Id.
- 141 Id.
- 142 Id.
- 143 Id.
- 144 Id.
- 145 Id. at 1, 3, 10.
- 146 Id.
- 147 Id.
- 148 Id.
- 149 See id. at 78. Technically, the agreement provides that Chesapeake could "approve" the third party gathering arrangement; failure by Chesapeake to approve the arrangement meant it was credited under the model (so Chesapeake had every incentive not to approve). Id. at 76.

- 150 Id. at 10.
- 151 OGJ Editors, Williams to Buy Access Midstream Partners, OIL & GAS J. (June 16, 2014), https://www.ogj.com/general-interest/companies/article/17271350/williams-to-buy-access-midstream-partners.
- 152 Proposal for Decision, supra note 129.
- 153 Id.
- 154 Id.
- 155 Id.
- 156 *Oil Embargo, 1973-1974*, OFF. OF THE HISTORIAN, https://history.state.gov/milestones/1969-1976/oil-embargo (last visited Feb. 8, 2022).
- 157 Lower Crude Oil Prices Will Mean Less Exploration and Development, U.S. ENERGY INFO. ADMIN. (June 4, 2020), https://www.eia.gov/todayinenergy/detail.php?id=43975.
- 158 See generally id.
- 159 Id.
- 160 Proposal for Decision, supra note 129.
- 161 Id. at 26.
- 162 Id. at 13.
- 163 See id.
- 164 See id.
- 165 See Wells, supra note 16, at 329.
- 166 16 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 3.32(f)(1)(A) (2021) (R.R. Comm'n of Tex., Gas Well Gas and Casinghead Gas Shall be Utilized for Legal Purposes).
- 167 Id. § 3.32(h)(2); see DAVID PORTER, TEX. R.R. COMM'N, EASE FORD SHALE TASK FORCE REPORT 78 (2013).
- 16 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 3.32(h)(4) (2021) (R.R. Comm'n of Tex., Gas Well Gas and Casinghead Gas Shall be Utilized for Legal Purposes); see Tex. R.R. Comm'n, Proposal for Decision, Application of EXCO Operating Company, LP for an Exception to Statewide Rule 32 for Sixty-Nine Flare Points on Various Leases, Briscos Ranch (Eaglefrrd) Field, Dimmit and Zavala Counties, Texas, Oil and Gas Docket No. 01-0308609, 6 (Hearings Div. May 20, 2019).
- 169 16 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 3.32(f)(2) (2021) (R.R. Comm'n of Tex., Gas Well Gas and Casinghead Gas Shall be Utilized for Legal Purposes).
- 170 Id. at (f)(2)(D).
- 171 See, e.g., R.R. Comm'n of Tex. v. Flour Bluff Oil Corp., 219 S.W.2d 506, 507 (Tex. App. Austin 1949, writ ref'd).
- 172 Tex. R.R. Comm'n, supra note 168, at 11.
- 173 Id. at 13.
- 174 See Tex. R.R. Comm'n, Proposal for Decision, Application of EXCO Operating Company, LP for an Exception to Statewide Rule 32 for Sixty-Nine Flare Points on Various Leases, Briscos Ranch (Eaglefrrd) Field, Dimmit and Zavala Counties, Texas, Oil and Gas Docket No. 01-0308609, 6 (Hearings Div. May 20, 2019) [hereinafter Proposal for Decision II]; Tex. R.R. Comm'n, Application of EXCO Operating Company, LP for an Exception to Statewide Rule 32 for Sixty-Nine Flare Points on Various

- Leases, Briscoe Ranch (Eagleford) Field, Dimmit and Zavala Counties, Texas, Oil & Gas Docket No. 010308609, 1 (Hearings Div. Aug. 6, 2019) [hereinafter Final Order].
- 175 See sources cited *supra* note 174 (noting the commission's decision to continue to allow flaring).
- 176 See Collier, supra note 85.
- 177 Final Order, supra note 174, at 4.
- 178 See Original Petition for Judicial Review, supra note 84.
- 179 Id.
- 180 Id. at 2.
- 181 Id. at 3.
- 182 Id. at 7 (citing City of Marshall v. City of Uncertain, 206 S.W.3d 97, 105 (Tex. 2006)).
- 183 Id. at 6 (citing 16 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 3.32(c) (2021) (R.R. Comm'n of Tex., Gas Well Gas and Casinghead Gas Shall be Utilized for Legal Purposes)).
- 184 Id. (citing 16 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 3.32(f)(2) (2021) (R.R. Comm'n of Tex., Gas Well Gas and Casinghead Gas Shall be Utilized for Legal Purposes)).
- 185 Id
- 186 Id. Ex. A at 20.
- 187 Id. at 7-8.
- 188 Id.
- 189 Id. at 5.
- 190 Tex. R.R. Comm'n, *supra* note 168, at 21–22.
- 191 Original Petition for Judicial Review, supra note 84, at 5.
- 192 See TEX. GOV'T CODE ANN. § 2001.174; see also Anadarko E&P Co. LP v. R.R. Comm'n of Tex., No. 03-04-00027-CV, 2009 WL 47112, at *3 (Tex. App. Austin Jan. 7, 2009, no pet.) (citing R.R. Comm'n v. Torch Operating Co., 912 S.W.2d 790, 792 (Tex. 1995)); Texas Health Facilities Comm'n of Tex. v. Charter Med. Dall. Inc., 665 S.W.2d 446, 452 (Tex. 1984).
- 193 Anadarko, 2009 WL 47112 at *9 (citing City of El Paso v. Public Util. Comm'n, 883 S.W.2d 179, 185 (Tex. 1994)); Charter Med., 665 S.W.2d at 452.
- 194 Anadarko, 2009 WL 47112 at *10 (citing City of El Paso, 883 S.W.2d at 185; Hammack v. Public Util. Comm'n, 131 S.W.3d 713, 725 (Tex. App. — Austin 2004, pet. denied)).
- SWEPI LP v. R.R. Comm'n of Tex., 314 S.W.3d 253, 260 (first citing Tex. App. Austin 2010, pet. denied) (first citing Public Util. Comm'n v. Gulf States Utils. Co., 809 S.W.2d 201, 207 (Tex.1991)); and then citing Cities of Dickinson v. Public Util. Comm'n, 284 S.W.3d 449, 453 (Tex. App. Austin 2009, no pet.)).
- 196 Tex. R.R. Comm'n, supra note 93.
- 197 Id
- 198 *Id.* at 2 (approving EXCO's request to leave the docket and dismiss its claims).
- 199 Id. at 9–11 (discussing whether Chesapeake is similarly situated to other shippers on the Mockingbird System).
- 200 Tex. R.R. Comm'n, supra note 168.

- 201 Proposal for Decision, supra note 129, at 21.
- 202 Id.
- 203 See TEX. UTIL. CODE ANN. § 121.001; John Morozuk, Regulation of Midstream Gas Gathering Companies in Texas and Oklahoma, OIL & GAS, NAT. RES., & ENERGY J., 251 (2015); Jesse Lotay & Yenmi Tang, A Primer on Understanding Oil and Gas Transportation Agreements and Identifying Key Issues, UNIV. OF TEX. CONTINUING LEGAL EDUC. (Mar. 26–27, 2020), https://www.jw.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/Jesse-Lotay-Yenmi-Tang-UT-CLE-A-Primer-on-Understanding-Oil-and-Gas-Transportation-Agreements-Mar.-2020.pdf.
- 204 Morozuk, supra note 203, at 14.
- 205 TEX. UTIL. CODE ANN. § 121.104(a)(2).
- 206 Proposal for Decision, supra note 129.
- 207 Id. (quoting 16 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 7.115(32) (2021) (R.R. Comm'n of Tex., Definitions)).
- 208 Id. at 21.
- 209 Id. at 13.
- 210 Id.
- 211 Id. at 23.
- 212 Id.
- 213 Id.
- 214 Id.
- 215 Id. at 21.
- 216 Id. at 19. 23.
- 217 See id. at 21.
- 218 See id.
- 219 Wayne Christian, Flaring Natural Gas is the Safer Environmental Option, USA TODAY (July 30, 2019), https://www.usatoday.com/story/opinion/2019/07/30/flaring-natural-gas-safer-environmental-option-editorials-debates/1872529001/.
- 220 Id.
- 221 Id.
- 222 RYAN SITTON, TEXAS FLARING REPORT 13 (2020), https://www.rrc.texas.gov/media/vhhj43cq/sitton-texas-flaring-report-q1-2020.pdf.
- 223 See id. at 4.
- 224 For the period between November 2018 and October 2019 (the time period focused on in the report), Texas flared over 213 Bcf (casinghead flaring and gas well flaring) compared with a total of 10,278 Bcf of gas produced (casinghead gas and gas well gas), over 2%. Flaring and production figures provided by the Railroad Commission of Texas through an open records request by the author (on file with the author). See id.
- 225 Id.
- 226 SITTON, supra note 222.
- 227 TEX. STATE CEMETERY, supra note 25.

- 228 Mose Buchele, "It's a Joke": Flaring Expert Finds Big Problems in Report from Texas Oil and Gas Regulator, TEX. TRIBUNE (Feb. 25, 2020), https://www.texastribune.org/2020/02/25/expert-finds-problems-report-texas-oil-and-gas-regulator/.
- 229 U.S. DEP'T OF ENERGY, OFFICE OF OIL AND NATURAL GAS OFFICE OF FOSSIL ENERGY, NATURAL GAS FLARING AND VENTING: STATE AND FEDERAL REGULATORY OVERVIEW, TRENDS, AND IMPACTS 10–13 (2019) https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2019/08/f65/Natural%20Gas%20 Flaring%20and%20Venting%20Report.pdf.
- 230 Historical Natural Gas Production and Well Counts, R.R. COMM'N OF TEX., https://www.rrc.texas.gov/oil-and-gas/research-and-statistics/production-data/historical-production-data/natural-gas-production-and-well-counts-since-1935/ (last visited Feb. 8, 2022).
- 231 U.S. DEPT. OF ENERGY, supra note 229.
- 232 Compare id. at 12, with R.R. COMM'N OF TEX., supra note 230 (Texas Railroad Commission estimates).
- 233 Flaring Regulation, R. R. COMM'N OF TEX., https://www.rrc. texas.gov/about-us/faqs/oil-gas-faqs/flaring-regulation/ (last visited Feb. 8, 2022).
- 234 See id.
- 235 Id.
- 236 See id.
- 237 See, e.g., Final Order, supra note 174 (listing 138 wells on EXCO's request to flare, covered by 69 permits).
- 238 Flaring Intensity in Texas Continues Downward Trend, R. R. COMM'N OF TEX., https://rrc.texas.gov/news/072821-flaring-trends/ (last visited Feb. 8, 2022).
- 239 Id.
- 240 U.S. ENERGY INFO. ADMIN., TEXAS NATURAL GAS VENTED AND FLARED (2022), https://www.eia.gov/dnav/ng/hist/n9040tx2M.htm.
- 241 Christian, supra note 219.
- 242 Flaring volumes provided via open records request with Texas Railroad Commission (on file with the author). See BAKER HUGHES, NORTH AMERICA RIG COUNT (2021), https://rigcount.bakerhughes.com/na-rig-count. Rig count timing is delayed four months to reflect the lag between spud and completion. See Laura Zachary, The Estimated Effects of a Fed. Leasing Pause: A Review of the Modeling Consensus and Why a 2020 Study by Timothy J. Considine Fails to Compute, (June 15, 2021), https://www.wilderness.org/sites/default/files/media/file/Considine%202020%20L%20Zachary%20 review_15%20June%202021.pdf (noting that "[o]nce a well is spud (drilling begins), an average of 4 months passes before first production begins").
- 243 See U.S. ENERGY INFO. ADMIN., HENRY HUB NATURAL GAS SPOT PRICE (2022), https://www.eia.gov/dnav/ng/hist/ rngwhhd.htm.